

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58638 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2015 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

-
1. MAHESH MANJHI @ MAHENDRA MANJHI S/o BRICHHA MANJHI
R/o BINOBA NAGAR KOKTA, P.S-FATEHPUR, DISTRICT-GAYA.
 2. RAVINDRA MANJHI S/o MAHESHI MANJHI R/o BINOBA NAGAR,
KARIYADPUR, JAGAR NATHPUR, P.S-FATEHPUR, DISTRICT-GAYA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER**

5 21-09-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection
with Rampur P.S. Case no. 192 of 2015 instituted for the offence
punishable under Sections 414/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the FIR, two stolen motorcycles
i.e. Hero Honda splendor and Hero Honda glamour were
recovered from the house of the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners
has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed
no offence. They have got no criminal antecedent. They have



been falsely implicated in this case merely on the basis of suspicion. Nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioners.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of Bail and submitted that two stolen motorcycles were recovered from the house of the petitioners and they could not produce any valid documents regarding ownership of the vehicles.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that there is recovery of stolen articles from the house of the petitioners, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and, as such, his application for bail is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

