

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58011 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-352 Year-2021 Thana- DEHRI TOWN District- Rohtas

=====

Aman Kumar, Male, aged 21 years son of Bimal Singh resident of Mohalla
Bal Govind Bigha P.S Dihri (T) District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 06-04-2022 Let the defects, if any, be removed within four weeks
from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered in connection
with Dihri(T) P.S.Case No. 352 of 2021 for the offences
punishable under Sections 379 and 461 of the Indian Penal
Code.

As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that in the
night of 24.06.2021 some unknown persons stolen the roller
bearing, logs, chain and other articles by cutting wall of store
room of Hydro Electric Power Project Dihri. The F.I.R has been
registered on 2.07.2021 against unknown persons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR



instituted against unknown person but only on suspicion the police arrested this petitioner and after any how obtaining his self confessional statement, he has been implicated in this case. It is next submitted that no stolen articles has been recovered from possession of the petitioner. Though during course of investigation, it has come to light that on the confessional statement of this petitioner, recovery has been made from the shop of one Vijay Prajapati. Counsel for the petitioner drawn my attention towards material which are said to be stolen and mentioned in the F.I.R as well as seizure list which does not tally with each other. It is next submitted that petitioner in is custody since 17.07.2021 and the investigation has already been completed.

The learned A.P.P opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Having heard the rival contentions of the parties and taking into consideration the fact that, F.I.R instituted against unknown and there is delay in lodging of the F.I.R and petitioner having no criminal antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-I,



Dehri on-Sone, Rohtas in connection with Dehri (T) P.S.Case
No. 352 of 2021 subject to the condition that one of the bailors
will be the close relatives of the petitioner with further
conditions which are as follows:-

(i) The petitioner will cooperate in conclusion of the
trial.

(ii) He will remain present on each and every date of
trial till disposal of the case.

(iii) He will not try to tamper with the evidence or
intimidate the witnesses to delay the disposal of trial.

(iv) In the event of default of two consecutive dates
without any cogent reason, his bail bonds will liable to be
cancelled.

(Harish Kumar, J)

N.K/-

U			
---	--	--	--

