

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57713 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-75 Year-2021 Thana- PUSA District- Samastipur

=====

ROSHAN KUMAR S/O RAJ KUMAR CHAUDHARY Resident of
Bhagirathpur, Ward No. 01, Naranga Samastipur, Nauraga Police Station-
Pusa, District- Samastipur, Bihar- 848102

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Vikram Rana

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umanath Mishra

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 17-10-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defects within three weeks. In the eventuality of
non-removal of defects within undertaken period, the office will
place the matter before the Bench.

The petitioner is apprehending their arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 392 of the
Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the F.I.R. that three miscreants
overtook the Scooty of informant in the way and robbed his
Scooty containing cash Rs. 3,00,000/- along with mobile, purse,
Adhar card, A.T.M. and Voter I.D.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioner. He submits that motive behind the implication of the petitioner in this case is to mentally harass him by the co-accused because of the local politics. He submits that petitioner was made accused on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused Tinku Kumar. He further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of this application.

Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is ample evidence against the petitioner in the case diary.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is ample evidence against the petitioner in the case diary, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Pusa P.S. Case No. 75 of 2021. Accordingly his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

ajay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

