

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.58222 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2021 Thana- GURUA District- Gaya

SUNIL CHAUDHARY Son of Kanhai Chaudhary Resident of Village -
Saraiya, P.s.- Gurua, Dist.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prithivi Raj Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 17-02-2022 Heard the parties through video conferencing.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the *Pairvikar* of the case has not given correct statement with respect to the criminal antecedent of the petitioner. However, on his personal endeavour, learned counsel come to know that petitioner has been made accused in several other cases and in such circumstances, he seeks permission to withdraw the application filed on behalf of petitioner.

Law is well settled with regard to false averments the pleading are sufficient to attract Chapter XI of the I.P.C. In this case *Pairvikar* of the petitioner has filed the present bail application containing false averments in paragraph No.3. This practice of having made a false statement incorporated in an affidavit filed before Court should always be deprecated.

In view of the above submission, the application filed



on behalf of the petitioner is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

