

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1104 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Rohtas

=====

DR SHOBHNA SAHAY D/o Late Kashi Nath Sahay Resident of Flat No.204,
2 Floorf, Rajhans Apartment, Punaichak, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Town and
District- Patna- 800023 (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Home Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna. Bihar
2. Director General of Police, CID, Bihar, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar
3. Jitendra Prasad, A.D.G.P., CID Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar
4. Inspector General of Police, CID, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar
5. Ratnamani Sanjeev, D.I.G., CID, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar
6. Superintendent of Police, CID, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar
7. Superintendent of Rohtas, Sasaram Bihar
8. Aniruddh Prasad, Inspector, CID, Sardar Patel Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna. Bihar

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Ranjan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Shanu, A.C. to A.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2022 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to

remove the defects within four weeks.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.

Kumar Shanu, learned A.C. to A.G.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking a direction to

the respondent authorities to conduct proper investigation of



Rohtas (Sasaram) Model P.S. Case No. 770 of 2014 and Rohtas (Sasaram) Model P.S. Case No. 289 of 2013.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is an original resident of Rohtas (Sasaram) is presently residing in the United Kingdom but she has got some property over here which are allegedly being sold by her step-mother in conspiracy with other named co-accused. In this connection, she has filed the cases mentioned hereinabove.

It is stated that investigation of both the cases is still pending. At one stage the district police had concluded the investigation but when the petitioner showed to the competent authority that there were discrepancies in the investigation, the matter has been sent to the Criminal Investigation Department (CID) for supervision and conducting the investigation under their command. It is submitted that the investigation is pending for quite long time.

Mr. Kumar Shanu, learned A.C. to A.G. for the State submits that the grievance of the petitioner may be taken care of in terms of the order dated 09.09.2022 passed in Cr. W.J.C. No. 153 of 2017 (**Surendra Singh Vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.**) and other analogous cases. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with the same.



In the aforementioned circumstances, this writ application is being disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police CID (respondent no. 6) to look into the grievance of the petitioner and conduct proper investigation in terms of the directions of this Court as contained in the order passed in Cr.W.J.C. No. 153 of 2017 and other analogous matters. Similarly the learned Magistrate within whose jurisdiction the case is pending is obliged to monitor the investigation in terms of the directions of this Court. The entire directions contained in the order dated 09.09.2022 passed in Cr.W.J.C. No. 153 of 2017 and other analogous cases are being reproduced hereunder:-

“(I) In the cases where the investigation of the case is still pending, the concerned writ petitioner/an aggrieved person (hereinafter referred to as the ‘writ petitioner’ or ‘an aggrieved person’) may file an appropriate application before the Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police of the concerned district drawing his attention towards the pending investigation. The aggrieved person shall submit his stand by way of an application with all supporting materials in the office of Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police or send the same through registered post/speed post/email, as the case may be. A copy of the same shall also be sent to the investigating officer of the case.

(II) On receipt of such application from the



aggrieved person, the Senior Superintendent of Police/ Superintendent of Police shall himself supervise the said case within a period of two weeks, wherever necessary he shall give a chance of hearing to the aggrieved person and all endeavours be made to consider the submissions as well as the materials produced before him.

(III) The Senior Superintendent of Police/ Superintendent of Police shall issue necessary instructions to any other supervising authority such as Dy.S.P. and also to the I.Os. of the case to complete the investigation from all angles within a reasonable period. What will be the reasonable period will depend upon the nature of the case and the kind of materials which are required to be dealt with. It is to be kept in mind that only because the Criminal Procedure Code does not provide for maximum limit within which an investigation is to be completed, it does not mean that the investigation is to be kept pending for decades. There are many judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in which the prosecution has been quashed because the investigating agency failed to complete the investigation of the case despite lapse of several years. Undue delay in completion of investigation erodes public faith and confidence in the investigating agency.

(IV) Upon receipt of a request/application /representation from a person connected with the case and aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the investigation alleging improper investigation, complaints of threat to him or his family or the witnesses by his opponents, accused or his associates, it is the Sr. Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police of the concerned district and the Station



House Officer of the concerned police station as well as the I.O. of the case who would be duty bound to enter or caused to be entered the information in the station diary of the police station and examine or caused to be examined the threat perception of the informant and/or his family members/witnesses and take appropriate steps at the earliest, in the cases where threat perceptions are found to be genuine, they would take immediate measures to protect the life of the person(s) under threat. Delay in examining the request/representation leading to any serious consequences shall in itself be a matter of enquiry and action against the erring police officials.

(V) As regards the grievance that accused persons are not being arrested in cases involving serious and heinous offences the Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police/Investigating Officer shall take appropriate steps keeping in view the law and judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court on the subject. In the matter of absconding accused the I.O. must take immediate steps to arrest him and exhaust all other procedures in accordance with law with utmost expedition.

(VI) This Court has already reproduced the extracts from judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sakiri Vasu** (supra). In the light of the said judgment, this Court directs that in all these cases the learned Magistrate(s) in whose court the case is pending, shall, without seeking any application from the informant monitor the investigation. They are fully competent to take a view as to whether a proper investigation is taking place or not. Learned Magistrates are expected to exercise their powers under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. to ensure that investigation of the case pending before the



learned Magistrate is duly investigated. If it is found that the Investigating Officer is not proceeding with the investigation expeditiously and is keeping the same pending without rhyme or reason and in the opinion of the learned Magistrate it is found to be a case of inaction on the part of the Investigating Officer, the learned Magistrate would be well within his powers to direct the Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police to change the Investigating Officer, to supervise the case himself by the Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police and to take appropriate measures in accordance with law. While exercising his power under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., the learned Magistrate shall definitely monitor the investigation, though he cannot investigate the case himself and will not act as supervisory authority but it is certainly within his domain to ensure that the investigation is done properly and for this purpose without interfering with the power of the Investigating Officer or the Supervising Authority to conduct an investigation, the learned Magistrate may issue appropriate directions which in his opinion is required for conduct of proper investigation. What would be the nature of such direction(s) in a given case cannot be put in a straight jacket formula and it is for the learned Magistrate to look into this aspect of the matter on case to case basis. An application filed by an aggrieved person with prior service of copy upon the learned Public Prosecutor/A.P.P. seeking directions for proper investigation must be heard expeditiously and the same be disposed of within a period of 30 days from the date on which such application is moved before the learned Magistrate on the first date. If the learned Magistrate fails to exercise his power under Section



156(3) Cr.P.C. either on his own or on filing of the application by the aggrieved person, an appropriate application may be brought before this Court for an order/direction and monitoring as the case may be.

(VII) If any of the directions issued by the learned Magistrate in accordance with the order of this Court as stated above to the Senior Superintendent of Police/Superintendent of Police/investigating officer, unless otherwise interfered with by a competent court of law, is not given effect to by the concerned authorities, it will be taken to be a case of contempt of this Court and the learned Magistrate may inform this Court as regards the willful disobedience or disregard shown to the order/orders, direction/directions issued by him in terms of this judgment. In such circumstance an aggrieved person may also file an application seeking initiation of contempt.

(VIII) All the stake-holders in the present writ applications shall act accordingly. A copy of this order be sent to the Director General of Police, Bihar to enable him to issue necessary instructions at the earliest.”

Let the authorities and the court both act accordingly.

This application stands disposed of.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

