

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46685 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2022 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Jamui

Ronal Hansda @ Ronald Hansda @ Ronelad Hansda @ Renel Hansda S/o
Barnat Hansda R/o Village- Bodgaiyan, P.S.- Chadon, District- Banka, State-
Bihar.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance:

For the Petitioner : Mr. Amit Narayan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Md. Aslam Ansari, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 05-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove
the defect(s) within four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under sections 493, 376, 506, 313,
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

Allegedly, the petitioner is said to have cohabited with the
informant for about two and half years on the pretext to
solemnize marriage and lastly refused to do so.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He
has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in
the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation



leveled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail has submitted that considering the seriousness of the allegation levelled against the petitioner, the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The instant application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

