

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.57914 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2021 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

=====

PANKHU KUMAR @ PINKU KUMAR SON OF TEJAN RAY @
TEJNARAYAN RAY R/O VILLAGE- MOHANPUR, P.S.- RAGHOPUR,
DISTRICT- VAISHALI

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Veena Rani Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in virtual court

proceeding.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be

removed within four weeks of start of normal functioning of the

physical court.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Raghopur
(Rustampur O.P.) P.S. Case No. 23/2021 registered for the

offences punishable under Section 392 of the IPC.

The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against unknown.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

petitioner has clean antecedent and has committed no offence

and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is



further submitted that petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has been transpired only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Mukesh Kumar. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from possession of the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 04.06.2021.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer of bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Miss. Anjali Nag, learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Hajipur, Vaishali in connection with Raghapur (Rustampur O.P.) P.S. Case No. 23/2021, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the



evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

shahzad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

