

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56501 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-165 Year-2021 Thana- DARIYAPUR District- Saran

1. Ranjan Kumar @ Niranjan Kumar S/o Sri Rajeshwar Rai R/o village- Bajhiyan, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Saran at Chapra
2. Munna Kumar @ Munna Rai S/o Sri Rajeshwar Rai R/o village- Bajhiyan, P.S.- Dariyapur, District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Pratap Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is expected to honour his undertaking given in the instant case for depositing the requisite court fee and to remove the defects as pointed out by the office when called upon to do so by the office.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 511, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 6 of the Protection of Children From Sexual



Offences Act.

It is alleged against the petitioners that they made an attempt to ravish the minor daughter of the informant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in the background of some land dispute, the present F.I.R has been registered. The petitioners are full brothers and it is highly improbable that both brothers will commit such type of occurrence. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

Learned A.P.P appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners on the ground that the victim is minor and in her 164 Cr.P.C statement she has specifically alleged against the petitioners that they outraged her modesty.

Considering the fact that the victim is minor and she specifically alleged against the petitioners to have outraged her modesty, this Court is not inclined to



grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners stands rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

