

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56645 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-307 Year-2021 Thana- KUCHAIKOTE District- Gopalganj

SANDEEP KUMAR @ SANDIP KUMAR S/O RAJENDAR BASFOR R/o
village- Kuchaikote, P.S.- Kuchaikote, District- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Javed Aslam, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 28-01-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 307 of 2021 registered for the offence under Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

Recovery is of 53.800 liters of country made liquor.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. In fact, nothing has been recovered



from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the alleged recovery has been made from the sack of motorcycle. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged motorcycle and the seized liquor. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 24.07.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one more case other than the present one.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge-II, Gopalganj in connection with Kuchaikote P.S. Case No. 307 of 2021 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or



the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

