

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55991 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2021 Thana- IMADPUR District- Bhojpur

1. Ajeet Pandey @ Ajit Kumar Pandey Son of Ram Kavilash Pandey Resident of Village - Imadpur, P.S. Imadpur, District - Bhojpur.
2. Rishav Raj Son of Kaushal Raj Resident of Mohalla Rajendra Nagar, P.S. Ara Nawada, District - Bhojpur.
3. Jai Prakash Singh Son of Late Ram Tapeswar Singh Resident of Village - Khangaon, P.S. Chandi, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in

a case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 341, 323, 354, 379, 504, 34 of the Indian

Penal Code.

The prosecution case as per F.I.R is that the

informant solemnized marriage of her daughter with

petitioner No. 1. However, after marriage, the in-laws

family always used to torture her daughter, hence, she



lodged Imadpur P.S. Case No. 55 of 2021. In this case, both sides compromised the matter and petitioner No. 1 agreed to keep his wife with dignity and took her to her matrimonial house. It is alleged that on 23.06.2021, the informant received an information that in-laws family of her daughter are planning to kill her daughter. The informant reached at the matrimonial house along with her son when it is alleged that all the accused persons including the petitioners abused and made assault to the informant, her daughter and son.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that after eighteen years of marriage the informant and her daughter filed series of cases against the petitioner No. 1 with baseless allegations. In pursuance to the order of Court, the petitioner No. 1 was living happily with his wife but the informant wanted her daughter to take to her parents' house, hence, on protest, both sides got lacerated injuries. The injury report of Sonu Kumar, son of the informant suggests the



injuries to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance whereas the injury report of the informant suggests that except one injury for which, opinion has been reserved, all the injuries are simple in nature.

In the facts and circumstance of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten Thousands) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, VI, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Imadpur P.S. Case No. 82 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

