

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1352 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-126 Year-2019 Thana- MAGADH UNIVERSITY District- Gaya

MUNNA KUMAR SON OF RAJENDRA MANJHI Resident Of Village -
Arjun Bigha ,P. S. - Magadh Univercity, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murlidhar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER**

3 10-01-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is expected to honour his undertaking given in the instant case for depositing the requisite court fee and to remove the defects as pointed out by the office when called upon to do so by the office.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in Magadh University P.S. Case No. 126 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 457 and 354(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 7 of the POCSO Act.

It is a case of outrage the modesty of the informant, namely, Puja Kumari.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he



has committed no offence.

Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

From perusal of the case diary, it appears that there is ample evidence that the petitioner and co-accused had outrage the modesty of the informant, namely, Puja Kumari. It also appears that the processes under Section 83 has also been issued against the petitioner, as such, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

brajesh kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

