

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.958 of 2016

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14610 of 2015

-
-
1. The Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. and Anr
 2. The District Manager, State Food Corporation, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Halimpur Primary Agriculture Credit Cooperative Society Ltd. and Ors son of late Kapildeo Ray, R/O village Isha Chapra, P.S.Sahebganj, District Muzaffarpur.
2. The state of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Co-operative Department Government of Bihar, Pa
3. The Principal Secretary, Co-operative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Divisional Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
7. The District Supply Officer, Muzaffarpur.
8. The District Co-operative Officer, Muzaffarpur.
9. The Block Development Officer, Sahebganj.
10. The Block Co-operative Officer, Sahebganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Resp. No.1 : Mr. Krishna Kant Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR

PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 13-12-2022

Heard Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned

Advocate for the appellant/Bihar State Food and Civil



Supplies Corporation and Mr. Krishna Kant Singh for the respondent no.1.

After some arguments, the parties agree that this case be disposed of in terms of the order dated 20.04.2022 passed by this Bench in L.P.A. No. 698 of 2016 in CWJC No. 3837 of 2016.

We are of the considered view that the grievance of the respondent be also placed with the Committee, which shall examine all aspects of the matter and shall submit a report.

In case it is found that the respondent had supplied paddy within the deadline so fixed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and for which procurement, the farmers have been adequately compensated/paid, necessary orders shall be passed, redressing the grievance of the respondent.

In case the respondent is aggrieved by any decision of the Committee, it will be open for the



respondent to approach the Hon’ble Supreme Court in those batch of SLPs for ventilating their grievances.

Mr. Singh, learned counsel for the appellant, submits that the Committee is still functioning and the SLPs, referred to in this order, are still pending consideration before the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

With the aforementioned observation, this appeal also is disposed off in terms of the order passed in LPA No. 698 of 2016.

It is expected that the Committee shall take into account all the grounds raised by the respondent in the writ petition as also in this appeal and only thereafter shall make any recommendation.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.12.2022
Transmission Date	

