

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11912 of 2022

=====

Brij Nandan Singh, Son of Mangru Singh, House No. 9, resident of Lahathan,
P.O.-Lahathan, P.S.-Piro, Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chairman, Railway Board, Baroda House, New Delhi.
2. The East Central Railway through the General Manager, Hajipur, Vaishali.
3. The Divisional Railway Manager, Danapur Division, Danapur.
4. Senior Divisional Engineer (1), East Central Railway, Danapur Division, Danapur.
5. The Senior Divisional Engineer (3) East Central Railway, Danapur Division, Danapur.
6. The Chief Office Superintendent (Bill and Tender) East Central Railway, Danapur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amaresh Kumar Sinha, Advocate Mr. Nagadeo Choubey, Advocate Mr. Vaibhav Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. (Dr.) K.N.Singh, A.S.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 24-08-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- i) For the issuance of a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order or direction, commanding the respondent authorities to release the admitted amount of Rs. 2,11,088 /- with interest @ of 10 % per annum from the date it became due i.e. from 08.03.2007.
- ii) For any other relief to which the Petitioner may be found entitled.



After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, finding the court not to be in favour of the submissions made across the bar, seeks liberty to (a) approach the respondents venting out his grievances and (b) take recourse to such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Liberty, as prayed for, is granted.

Needless to say that while considering such request, principles of natural justice shall be followed and due opportunity of hearing afforded to the parties.

If aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach this Court by way of separate petition(s), if so required and desired.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the claim and leaving all issues on facts and law open, the petition



stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

We may not be misunderstood to have extended the period of limitation or expressed any view on merits.

Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Vikash/Sujit

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.08.2022
Transmission Date	

