

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL REVISION No.886 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Rajesh Kumar Roy @ Rajesh Ray Son of Rajendra Roy, Resident of Village-
Samahariya, P.O.- Chauri, P.S.- Agnour, District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Punita Devi, Wife of Rajesh Kumar Roy, Resident of Village- Balbandh,
P.S.- Charpokhari, District- Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Sri Binod Kumar 3, APP
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Nagendra Dubey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 05-08-2022 Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, learned counsel for

the petitioner submits that in view of the subsequent

developments between the parties, this revision application has

become infructuous.

Mr. Nagendra Dubey, learned counsel for the opposite

party no. 2 does not dispute the submission of learned counsel

for the petitioner.

This application is, thus, disposed of as having

become infructuous.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
----------	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

