

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53518 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2020 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

SADISH SHARMA @ SUDIP SHARMA Son of Basudev Sharma @
Vasudev Sharma Resident of Village- Budabe Ward No. 15, P.S.-
Singheshwar, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 54182 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2020 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

GANESH MUKHIYA Son of Ganga Mukhiya Resident of Village - Rajpur
Gorihari, P.S.- Pipra Bazar, District - Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 53518 of 2021)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha, APP

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 54182 of 2021)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 27-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The two petitioners in the two applications have
prayed for grant of regular bail in connection with Bhargama
P.S. Case no. 16 of 2020 registered under sections 392 and 397
of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of the Arms Act.

As per the prosecution case, while the informant was



returning with the collected money, it is stated that three accused persons snatched the bag from the informant containing Rs. 2,03,650/-.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the FIR was registered against unknown. The name of the petitioners transpired in their own confessional statement recorded in one another case before police. No incriminating article has been recovered from the petitioners possession. In spite of being in custody since 27.1.2021 and the informant claiming that he can identify the accused persons on seeing them again, the petitioners have not been put on T.I. parade. Investigation in the case has concluded.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State who submits that the petitioners have criminal antecedents.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the petitioners not having been put on T.I. parade in spite of having remained in custody for more than a year and chargesheet having been submitted in the case, the petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Sessions Trial no. 162 of 2021 (arising out of Bhargama P.S. Case no. 16 of 2020) on each of them furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional
Sessions Judge VII, Araria.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

