

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2110 of 2021

=====

Ajay Kumar S/o Shree Kameshwar Prasad Singh Resident of Village-Bhairapur, Deorhi, P.S.-Bidupur, Distridct-Vaishali, At Present-Kameshwar Prasad Singh, C/O Lakshmi Mehta, Nar F.N.S. Acadamy, Guljarbagh, Sanpatchak, Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar State Transport Corporation, Patna, through its Administrator.
3. The Administrator, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Patna.
4. The Chief of Administration, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna.
5. The Chief Account Officer, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Pariwahan Bhawan, Patna.
6. The Regional Manager, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
7. The Assistant Regional Manager (Operation) Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
8. The Divisional Manager, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Saran at Chapra.
9. the Account Superintendent Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Saran at Chapra.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Mishra
For the BSRTC	:	Mr. P.K. Verma
	:	Mr. Vijaya Laxmi Srivastava

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-04-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief/reliefs:

*“The present petitioner is being filed
for quashing the office Order no. 189 dated
06.09.2018 passed by the Chief*



Administrator, Bihar State Raod Transport Corporation, Patna and Circulated vide Memo No. 3050 dated 06.09.2018 by which annual increment given to the petitioner from the period August 1992- June 2007 has been stopped / restrained with immediate effect on the basis of so-called complain of one Dinesh Kumar Singh (Journalist) of Manbodh Nagar, Kishanganj.”

During the pendency of the present petition, disciplinary authority is stated to have passed an order imposing certain penalty. In the light of later development, the present petition do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of, reserving liberty to the petitioner to invoke remedy of appeal, if it is available to him, or to file a fresh petition.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

Ankit/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	08.04.2022
Transmission Date	NA

