

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54155 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-160 Year-2020 Thana- PIYAR District- Muzaffarpur

SHANKAR PASWAN Son of Mundrika Paswan Resident of Village - Bea
Gopi, P.S.- Gaighat, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rewti Kant Raman, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 21-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence under Sections 302, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The mother of the informant is alleged to have
been shot dead by the accused persons.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is
innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He
further submits that the petitioner has not been named in
the F.I.R. but merely on the basis of confessional statement of
the co-accused, Raj Kumar Sah, who is also the informant of



the case, he has been made accused in this case. He further submits that save and except the confession of the co-accused, no cogent material has come during course of investigation against the petitioner. He further submits that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet in this case against the petitioner. The petitioner is rotting in judicial custody since 31.03.2021.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Pear P.S. Case No. 160 of 2020 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.



(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

