

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13967 of 2019

=====

Mahaboudh Jan Swasthaya Evem Sarvangin Vikas Kendra, 103, Ramalaya Apartment, Vijay Nagar, Rukunpura, Patna through its Secretary Dilip Kumar, age 43, Male, Son of Sri Mohan Sharma, resident of Village- Khokhari, P.S. Arwal, District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Planning and Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Development Commissioner, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Magistrate, Arwal.
5. The District Planning Officer, Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Mistry, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Akash Chaturvedi, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR)

Date : 14-12-2022

Heard Mr. Vinay Mistry, learned Advocate for the petitioner and Mr. Akash Chaturvedi for the State.

The petitioner a registered society, which was selected by the District Magistrate, Arwal for providing four months training programme to persons for cutting, sewing and masonry is aggrieved by the decision of the District Magistrate, Arwal, whereby he has refused to make payment of Rs. 19,13,100/- against the services rendered by the society.



From the order passed by the District Magistrate, it appears that whatever was due to the petitioner as a society for undertaking training programmes of a number of persons in various vocations, the same has been paid at VTP rate. The dispute raised by the petitioner is that a different rate was fixed by the District Magistrate for conducting such course.

This dispute, we are afraid, cannot be decided in the present proceedings under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. If the petitioner stands aggrieved by non-payment or payment at a different rate than what was agreed upon, he may agitate before any competent forum for the redressal of his grievances.

This not being the correct forum for the petitioner to agitate his cause, the petition is dismissed with the liberty to the petitioner to make suitable application before appropriate forum.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.12.2022
Transmission Date	NA

