

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL REVISION No.160 of 2018**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

=====

Pawan Tiwari @ Pawan Kumar Tiwari S/o Kailash Tiwari, R/o Koshi Colony,
Bathnaha, P.S.- Bathnaha, Distt.- Araria.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sarita Devi W/o Pawan Tiwari D/o Alakhdev Shukla,
3. Priyanshu Kumar S/o Pawan Tiwari, Both are R/o Markan Colony, Ward
No.- 43, P.S.- Town, Distt.- Katihar.

... .. Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sri Pradeep Narain Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

10 14-10-2022 Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner submits at this stage that the parties have settled their

disputes amicably and he wanted learned counsel for the

opposite party no.2 to come forward and say so, but learned

counsel for the opposite party no. 2 is not putting appearance.

Be that as it may, learned counsel seeks permission to

withdraw this application with liberty to file an appropriate

application in the learned court below.

Permission is granted.

This application is dismissed as withdrawn with

liberty as prayed for.

Before this Court parts with the order, it is made clear



that unless the opposite party no. 2 & 3 come in the court below accepting the factum of compromise, it will be open for the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Katihar to continue with the execution of the impugned judgment.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

