

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44651 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-113 Year-2021 Thana- DERNI BAZAR District- Saran

=====

JITENDRA KUMAR @ JITENDRA SAH SON OF LATE NAND KISHOR
SAH R/O VILLAGE- JAHANGIRPUR, P.S.- SONEPUR, DISTRICT-
SARAN.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, within a
period of four weeks.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Derni P.S. Case No.113 of 2021, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The petitioner is said to have bought the stolen
ornaments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. The petitioner has got 12 criminal antecedents of similar nature as stated in paragraph-3 of the bail petition. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. The petitioner has been implicated in the present case on the basis of he confessional stament of co-accused, Jyotish Kumar and Ranjit Mahto, who have stated before the police that they used to sell the stolen ornaments to the petitioner.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is said to have bought the stolen ornaments.

Taking into consideration the facts aforesaid, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

