

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.54297 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2021 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Madhepura

-
1. PRINCE KUMAR YADAV @ PRINCE YADAV Son of Santosh Yadav
Resident of Village- Patraha, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Madhepura, District-
Madhepura.
 2. Santosh Yadav @ Fantus Yadav @ Sanjiv Kumar Son of Birendra Yadav
Resident of Village- Patraha, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Madhepura, District-
Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Sucheta Yadav, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Excise Case No. 77 of 2021 registered under Section 30(a)
of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioners is
that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in
the present case due to illegal motive of Police. Further
submission is that entire allegations levelled against the
petitioners are false and concocted. Petitioners have no criminal
antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposed the prayer of the petitioners by contending that the alleged recovery of illicit liquor has been made from the conscious possession of the petitioners. Hence, this is not a fit case of anticipatory bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the opposite party, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer of the petitioners is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

utkarsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--