

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11485 of 2022

=====

Devendra Singh Son of Late Adalat Singh, Resident of Village - Katalpur, P.S.
- Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. Additional Chief Secretary, Prohibition, Registrarion and Excise
Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Excise Commissioner, Prohibition, Registration and Excise Department,
Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector Gopalganj.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Gopalganj.
6. The Excise Superintendent, Gopalganj.
7. The Station House Officer, Baikunthpur, District - Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Naresh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumar Manish (SC-5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 17-08-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

1. That this writ application is for quashing the order dated 4.7.2022 passed by Additional Chief Secretary, Prohibition, Registration & Excise Department, Bihar in Excise Revision No. 162 of 2022 as contained in Annexure 4 and the order dated 26.4.2022 passed by Excise Commissioner, Prohibition, Registration & Excise Department, Bihar in Excise Appeal Case No. 281 of 2022 as contained in Annexure 3 as well as the order dated 3.3.2022 passed by the Collector in Excise Confiscation Case No. 175 of 2019 as contained in Annexure 2 by which the order for Confiscation of Petitioner's land/ house has been passed and Anchal Adhikari Baikunthpur has been directed to take possession of the same.

Petitioner claims to be the owner of the seized shop.

Allegation is recovery of 101.500 litres illicit liquor and from the shop of the petitioner.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the State that during pendency of writ petition, there has been amendment in the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Rules, 2021 and a new Rule 12(B) and 57 B have been inserted which read as under:-

"12B. Release of Premises on Payment of Penalty: - (1) If any premises or part thereof has been seized or sealed by any police or excise officer under the Act, then in terms of section-57B (2) of the Act, the Collector or an officer authorized by him, upon receipt of an application in Form V from the owner of the said premises, may release or

unseal the said premises or part thereof upon payment of such penalty as may be ordered by the Collector or the officer authorized by him. Provided, where it is not possible to ascertain the owner of the premises or the owner is not coming forward, the Collector or the officer authorized by him shall, after waiting for 15 days from the date of seizure/sealing, proceed to confiscate the premises as per the provisions of the Act.

(2) The Collector or the officer authorized by him shall have due regard to the economic status of the individual, nature of his involvement in the crime, location of the premises and the quantum of intoxicant recovered while deciding the quantum of fine to be paid by the individual. However, the fine shall not be less than Rs. one Lakh in any case. In any case, the Collector shall not wait beyond 15 days from the date of seizure/sealing and if during this period, the accused/owner does not pay up the penalty he shall proceed with the confiscation/auction.

(3) Notwithstanding above, if on a report by police officer or excise officer, the Collector or the officer authorized by him is satisfied that releasing the premises shall not be in the public interest, the Collector or the officer authorized by him shall proceed ahead with the confiscation of the said premises or part thereof and its subsequent auction/disposal.

(4) Such penalty shall be, regardless of the outcome of the trial if any, before the Special Court, non-refundable.

(5) The owner of the Premises shall, after the release of the premises, allow the inspection of the premises as and when desired by the authorities.

[Explanation: In all pending/ongoing cases of confiscation/ auction of premises, the Collector or the officer authorized by him may give an opportunity to the existing owner to pay the aforesaid penalty and get the premises released. Upon satisfaction of ownership and upon payment of such penalty, the ongoing confiscation/auction proceeding may be dropped and the premises released.]"

57B-Things or premises liable to be released upon penalty-

(1) Any animal, vehicle, vessel or other conveyance used for committing any offence punishable under this Act that has been seized by any police Officer or Excise Officer may be released by the Collector upon payment of such penalty as may be notified by the State Government.

(2) Any premises or part thereof used for committing any offence punishable under this Act that has been seized by any police Officer or Excise Officer may be released by the Collector upon payment of such penalty as may be notified by the State Government.

(3) If the person concerned does not pay the penalty, then the Collector shall proceed to confiscate the said animal, vehicle, vessel or other conveyance and premises as per section-58.

[Explanation 1: It shall not be a right of the accused to get his conveyance, item or premises released upon payment of

the required penalty. The Collector, based upon a report by a police Officer or an Excise Officer, may, for reasons to be recorded in writing, still refuse to release the said conveyance, item or premises and proceed ahead with confiscation and auction/destruction.]

[Explanation 2: The Collector shall, from the date of this Amendment coming into force, close the on-going confiscation proceeding if the person concerned pays the penalty as notified and release such vehicle, conveyance or premises.]

[Explanation 3: Such release shall not affect the outcome of trial, if any, before the Special Court.]”

In said view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to avail the remedy of the amended provision of 12(B) and 57B of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise (Amendment) Rules, 2022.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with respect to merit of the case.

Equally, liberty reserved to petitioner to approach this Court for same and subsequent cause of action, if need so arises.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

veena/rajiv-

(S. Kumar, J)

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	