

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.56986 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-28 Year-2021 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

Abhimanyu @ Mannu Kumar @ Mannu @ Abhimanyu Ram @ Abhimanyu
Kumar Son Of Arjun Ram Resident Of Village- Tufanganj, Police Station-
Rahui, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Shaheen Begum

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.Tr.
No.430 of 2021 arising out of Sohsarai P. S. Case No.28 of
2021, instituted for the offences under Section 392 of the Indian
Penal Code and later on, Sections 395 and 412 of the I.P.C. were
also added.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 06.02.2021, charge-sheet has been
submitted in the case and has antecedent of seven other cases.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
allegation is of committing loot in the house of the informant of
jewellery, cash, sword and some documents by four unknown



criminals.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the F.I.R. was lodged against unknown and the name of this petitioner transpired on the information given by the police spy and accordingly, petitioner and other accused persons were arrested and were made to confess about their participation in the crime. The learned counsel submits that confession in police custody has no evidentiary value rather the petitioner has been implicated falsely in this case merely because of his antecedents.

The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the bail application and submits that petitioner has antecedent of seven cases and in the event, if the bail is granted to him, the petitioner may abscond.

The learned counsel for the petitioner rebutting the submission of the learned A.P.P. for the State and submits that admittedly, the case is against unknown and the manner in which, the petitioner has been implicated, will not stand the scrutiny of trial, but still the petitioner will not abscond and will appear on each and every date in the trial.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody, charge-sheet has been submitted in the case and his name



transpired based on his own confessional statement, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Nalanda at Biharsharif in connection with S.Tr. No.430 of 2021 arising out of Sohsarai P. S. Case No.28 of 2021, subject to condition that one of the bailors shall be father of the petitioner namely, Arjun Ram and in the event, if the petitioner, on any of the date, does not appear in the trial without any plausible explanation, the learned Court below shall forthwith cancel his bail bonds.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

