

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53330 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2021 Thana- KUMAR KHAND District- Madhepura

1. PRIYANKA KUMARI DAUGHTER OF JAY KANT YADAV
2. SINDHU DEVI WIFE OF JAY KANT YADAV
3. RATNI DEVI WIFE OF PINTU YADAV
4. JULI DEVI WIFE OF BALLI SAH
All R/O VILLAGE - SIHPUR, P.S. - KUMARKHAND, DISTRICT -
MADHEPURA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-07-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 354, 379, 504, 506 and 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are women and are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 30.01.2021 the measurement of the land was being done by Amin in presence of both the parties for partitioning of the land. It is next alleged that Pintu Yadav came at the door of the informant and abused and misbehaved with her. Thereafter, it is alleged that Pintu Yadav



gave Khanti blow on her leg causing injury. It is next alleged that informant's daughter after seeing the occurrence was weeping when Sushant Kumar thrown her in Bari. It is next alleged that accused persons assaulted the child and dashed her on the ground and Prashant Kumar assaulted the husband of the informant on his chest by an iron rod causing injury. Thereafter, it is alleged that the petitioners also started brick batting and took away ornaments worth Rs.35,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case merely because they are related to Pintu and Prashant. It is next submitted that allegation of assaulting Dasrath Sah is specifically against Prashant Kumar leading to his death. It is next submitted that allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus in nature.

Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and the fact that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and are women, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be



released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kumar Khand P.S. Case No. 34 of 2021, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

