

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1566 of 2010

SHRI RAKESH RANJAN SINGH S/O Late Sahdeo Prasad Singh R/O C/O
Raghubansh Mani Singh, Mohalla and P.S.- Chhoti Khanjarpur, S.M. College
Road, Town and Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. BIHAR SCHOOL EXAM.BOARD Budha Marg, Patna Through Its
Chairman
2. The Dy. Secretary Establishment Bihar School Examination Board Senior
Secondary, Budha Marg, Patna
3. Shri Indrakant Jha S/O Late Chhedi Jha At and P.O.- Chandrayan, P.S.-
Navhatta, Distt.- Saharsa

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For Respondent no. 3	:	Mr. Madhav Roy, Adv. With Mr. Rabindra Nath Tiwari, Ad. With Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jha, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

- 4 26-07-2022
1. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not appear.
 2. However, learned counsel appearing for Rakesh
Ranjan Singh in another case informs that the petitioner has
already attained superannuation and has retired in May, 2015.
 3. In the present petition, the writ petitioner has
prayed to direct the respondent Board not to accept or recognize
the claim of respondent no. 3 or any person to have authority to
conduct examination.
 4. It is noticed that in one writ petition filed by the
same petitioner bearing C.W.J.C. No. 13544 of 2009, the



directions were issued to the Board to take a decision and thereafter in C.W.J.C. No. 15345 of 2007, vide order dated 23.12.2008, it was directed earlier by this Court to the University to take a decision with regard to the position of the Professor-in-charge of the College and it was decided by the Vice Chancellor vide order dated 04.02.2009 that the Professor-in-charge of the College shall be respondent no. 3, who shall have the control of the Degree Section as well as over the Intermediate Section.

5. Keeping in view, the decision dated 04.02.2009, which is not under challenge in the writ petition, the prayer made by the petitioner cannot be accepted. Moreover, since he is retired, no orders are required to be passed on merits of the case.

6. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 2

U			
---	--	--	--

