

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.53441 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-375 Year-2021 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

INDRAJEET SAHANI SON OF GANGANDEO SAHANI RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- BATHNA, POLICE STATION- MOTIPUR, DISTRICT-
MUZAFFARPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar Chaubey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-01-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be

removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding

in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 375 of 2021 registered for the offence
under Sections 379, 411 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 25(1-b)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

Two accused persons including the petitioner are
said to have looted a truck from the Highway and on their
possession, one country made pistol with one live cartridge
and mobile are said to have been recovered.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner



submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. In fact, nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Although, the alleged recovery of one country made pistol along with a live cartridge is said to have been made from the possession of the petitioner, but no offence has been committed by the petitioner, which is clearly apparent from the F.I.R itself. Moreover, the co-accused, namely, Md Shahnawaz @ Md Sahanawaz, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 04.01.2022 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51308 of 2021. The petitioner has sufficiently been punished for the alleged recovery as he is rotting in judicial custody since 13.06.2021 i.e. more than seven months.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarupr in connection with Ahiyapur P.S. Case No. 375 of 2021 with the following



conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

braj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

