

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2630 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2022 Thana- GAYA (R.T) District- Patna

-
-
1. Sardar Yadav S/o Barat Yadav Resident of village- Maigra Khurd, P.S.- Pratap pur, District- Chatra Jharkhand.
 2. Guddu Kumar S/o Lato Yadav Resident of village- Paraiyadih, P.S.- Pratap pur, District- Chatra Jharkhand.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Vikash Kumar S/o Mithilesh Yadav, Resident of Satisthan, P.S.- Makhdumpur, District- Jahanabad at present Coordination, Railway Child Line Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Samrendra Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl. PP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-12-2022

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within two weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

The present appeal has been preferred under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for bail vide order dated 22.06.2022 for the alleged offence under Section 370 of I.P.C. read with Sections 3(1) (i)(h)/3(2)(v) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of

Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 79 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.

As per prosecution case, the allegation of recovery of 3 minor child of informant from the possession of appellants is there in the F.I.R. for child labour.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that appellants are innocent and have committed no offence. He further submits that the present case has been filed only and only on the basis of suspicion. He also submits that the parents of child have filed affidavit before the Court that appellants are innocent and their child were not carrying for any kind of illegal means without their consent rather, they all were returning after attending marriage ceremony of relatives. He further submits that appellants are in custody since 22.05.2022, their antecedents are clean and charge sheet has already been filed in this case.

Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposes the prayer for bail but on the other hand accept that such petition has been filed by the family member of the recovered child.

In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, let the appellants above named, be granted bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees

Thirty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Gaya in connection with Gaya Rail P.S. Case No. 220 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, the impugned order dated 22.06.2022 passed by learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST (POA) Act, Gaya in connection with Gaya Rail P.S. Case No. 220 of 2022 lodged under Section 370 of I.P.C. read with Sections 3(1)(i) (h)/3(2)(v) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 79 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015 is set-aside and the present Cr. Appeal (SJ) stands allowed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

ritik/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	