

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11439 of 2022

=====

Deonath Rai son of Late Jaglal Rai, R/o Village - Damodarpur, P.S. and P.O. -
Sonepur, Dist- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chairman cum Principal Secretary, MD,
BSPHCL, Patna.
2. The Managing Director, NBPDCCL, Patna.
3. The Electrical Executive Engineer, North Bihar Power Distribution Co. Ltd.
Electricity Supply Division Saran P.O. and Dist. Saran.
4. The Assistant Electrical Engineer cum Assessing Officer, North Bihar Power
Distribution Co. Ltd. Sub- Division, Sonepur, Dist. Saran.
5. The Additional District Collector, Saran.
6. The District Magistrate, Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon’ble the Chief Justice /Hon’ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also, the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences /offices.)

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Ranjan, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Abbas Haider (SC6)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)**

Date : 12-08-2022

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- i) For quashing the letter dated 13.02.20 by which SHO sonapur was requested to lodge FIR against the petitioner for electricity theft. A copy of the letter dated 13.02.20 is annexed herewith and marked as **Annexure-1** to this petition.
- ii) To direct the respondent authority to return the fine taken illegally from appellant.

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take recourse to such equally, efficacious alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Permission granted.

This petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

We clarify that we have not adjudicated the dispute on

merits. All questions of fact and law are left open to be agitated and adjudicated before the appropriate forum in appropriate proceedings.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA