

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46472 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-82 Year-2018 Thana- JAMHOR District- Aurangabad

=====

SUBODH KUMAR S/O JAGDISH SINGH Resident of Village- Dharmapura,
P.S.- Jamhor, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Shrinath Manjhi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Syed Mojibur Rahman, APP
		Mr. Manish Kumar NO 2
		Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 07-12-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of bail
in a case registered under sections 304B, 379 and 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the
informant who was married to the petitioner was tortured for
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry to the tune of Rs. 5 lacs and
motorcycle and ultimately she was done to death.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner has remained in custody since 7.8.2018 and
there is no chance of the trial concluding in the near future. He



undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

Learned APP for the State files an affidavit sworn by the Investigating Officer of Jamhore P.S Case No. 82 of 2018 wherein in paragraph no. 4 thereof it is been stated that the Investigating Officer has deposed in the trial in the learned trial court on 25.11.2022. The affidavit is taken on record.

The prayer for bail is opposed by learned APP as also learned counsel appearing for the informant.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation, the progress in the trial wherein the Investigating Officer of the case has also been examined and the evidence of prosecution has been closed, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

Learned trial Court is directed to expeditiously conclude the trial at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt/communication of this order.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

shiv/avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

