

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)**

CRIMINAL REVISION No.1091 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-1111 Thana- District-

Md. Shohrab @ Md. Sorav, S/o Md. Rayees, Resident of Village - Gogi
Pothiya, P.S. Simraha, District – Araria. Petitioner
Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Bibi Nabisha Khatoon, W/o Md. Shohrab @ Md. Sorab, D/o Md. Salim
Resident of Village -Khajurbari, Ward No. 6, Jogbani, P.S. Jogbani, District -
Araria.
... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Fahimuddin, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

6 26-08-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Md.
Fahimuddin, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is aggrieved by and
dissatisfied with the judgment and order dated 25.04.2018
passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Araria by
which the petitioner is directed to pay maintenance amount of
Rs.4,000/- per month to the O.P. No. 2.

Considering that the learned Principal Judge, Family
Court, Araria has allowed a meagre amount of Rs.4,000/- per
month only to the applicant-wife that too is not being paid by
the petitioner for last more than 4 years after passing of the
order, further that in the present days, even an unskilled labourer
earns at least Rs. 400/- per day and that way award of Rs.4000/-



per month cannot be said to be unreasonable, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment.

This application stands dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SUSHMA2/-

U			
---	--	--	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.

