

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51848 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2021 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Sitamarhi

=====

RAKESH MANJHI S/O THAGA MANJHI R/o village- Manorath, P.S.-
Bajpatti, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Virendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sharda Kumari, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

2 04-01-2022 Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be

removed within four weeks of starting of Court proceeding

in physical mode in normal course.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with G.O.

Case No. 94 of 2021 registered for the offence under

Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

Recovery is of 135 liters of Nepali Saufi.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner

submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is

innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. In fact,

nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession



of the petitioner. He further submits that though there is allegation of recovery of 135 liters of Nepali Saufi from the possession of the petitioner, but the petitioner has sufficiently been punished for the alleged recovery as he has been languishing in judicial custody since 28.05.2021 i.e. more than seven months.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J.-II -cum- Special Judge, Excise Act, Sitamarhi in connection with G.O. Case No. 94 of 2021 with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or



the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

braj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

