

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11116 of 2022

=====

Kaushlendra Kumar S/o Chamari Prasad, R/o House NO. 320C, Near ICE
Factory, Ganpat Nagar Dhumsa Toli, Post-Chutia, Ranchi, Ranchi G.P.O.,
Jharkhand-834001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India
2. The Power Grid Corporation of India Limited through its Chief Manager (JCP).
3. The Senior General Manager (Project) of The Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Board Colony, Shastri Nagar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Dr. K.N. Singh, ASG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted by Hon'ble the Chief Justice/Hon'ble Judges through Video Conferencing from their residential offices/residences. Also the Advocates and the Staffs joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residences/offices.)

Date : 12-08-2022

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):

“(i) To issue an appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus for payment of the balance 10% of the amount i.e., Security Deposit of three different works awarded in favour of the petitioner by the Respondent Power Grid Corporation, which the petitioner is legally and



lawfully entitled to as the petitioner has completed the work assigned to him.

(ii) To issue an appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus for payment of interest, as per market rate, for the delay which has been caused by the Respondents in payment of the due amount.

(iii) To issue an appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondents in the nature of mandamus for payment of damages and cost of litigation which has been accrued to the petitioner in seeking his legally entitled amount.

(iv) To grant any other relief or reliefs, under the facts and circumstance of the case, to which the petitioner is entitled.”

After the matter was heard for some time, finding the Court not in favour of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, states that the petitioner shall be content if the petition is disposed of, with liberty to initiate appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum and to take recourse to such other alternate remedies which are equally efficacious in law.

Prayer allowed.

All issues of law and fact are left open.



The petition is disposed of with the liberty
aforesaid.

Interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/DKS

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	16.08.2022
Transmission Date	

