

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10979 of 2022**

=====

Kavita Singh an individual having her place of business at Bindwara Barghor  
Tola, Munger- 811201 through its authorised representative namely Vikash  
Saraf male aged about 41 years son of Late Bijay Agrawal resident of  
Bekapur, Shivaji Chowk, Munger- 811201.

Versus

... .. Petitioner/s

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary cum Commissioner,  
Department of State Taxes, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Assistant Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger (2020-  
2021)

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gautam Kumar Kejriwal, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**  
**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date : 10-08-2022**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- a) For issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the ex -  
parte order dated 17.11.2021 and summary of order in form GST DRC  
- 07 dated 17.11.2021 passed and issued by the respondent number 2  
under section 74 of the Bihar Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017  
(hereinafter referred to as the "Bihar act 2017" for short);
- b) For issuance of a writ or order or direction restraining the respondent  
No. 2 from making any coercive recovery of the amount in demand  
(tax, interest and penalty) as contained in the order dated 17.11.2021  
during the pendency of the present writ application;
- c) For grant of any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioner is found  
entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.

Petitioner has prayed for quashing of the order dated 17.11.2021 passed by the Respondent No. 2, namely The Assistant Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in Reference No. ZD101121006571E as also Summary of the order in Form GST DRC-07 dated

17.11.2021.

The order appears to be *ex parte* in nature.

Learned counsel for the Revenue, states that he has no objection if the matter is remanded to the Assessing Authority for deciding the case afresh. Also, the case shall be decided on merits. Also, during pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

Statement accepted and taken on record.

However, having heard learned counsel for the parties as also perused the record made available, we are of the considered view that this Court, notwithstanding the statutory remedy, is not precluded from interfering where, *ex facie*, we form an opinion that the order is bad in law. This we say so, for two reasons- (a) violation of principles of natural justice, i.e. Fair opportunity of hearing. No sufficient time was afforded to the petitioner to represent his case; (b) order passed *ex parte* in nature, does not assign any reasons sufficient even decipherable from the record, as to how the officer could determine the amount due and payable by the assessee. The order, *ex parte* in nature, passed in violation of the principles of natural justice, entails civil consequences. We also find the authorities not to have adjudicated the matter on the attending

facts and circumstances. All issues of fact and law ought to have been dealt with, even if the proceedings were to be *ex parte* in nature.

As such, we dispose of the present writ petition in the following mutually agreeable terms:

(a) We quash and set aside the impugned order dated 17.11.2021 passed by the Respondent No. 2, namely The Assistant Commissioner of State Taxes, Munger Circle, Munger in Reference No. ZD101121006571E as also Summary of the order in Form GST DRC-07 dated 17.11.2021;

(b) The petitioner undertakes to deposit twenty per cent of the amount of the demand raised before the Assessing Officer. This shall be done within four weeks;

(d) This deposit shall be without prejudice to the respective rights and contention of the parties and subject to the order passed by the Assessing Officer. However, if it is ultimately found that the petitioner's deposit is in excess, the same shall be refunded within two months from the date of passing of the order;

(e) We also direct for de-freezing/de-attaching of the bank account(s) of the writ-petitioner, if attached in

reference to the proceedings, subject matter of present petition. This shall be done immediately;

(f) Petitioner undertakes to appear before the Assessing Authority on 12<sup>th</sup> of September, 2022 at 10:30 A.M., if possible through digital mode;

(g) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits after complying with the principles of natural justice;

(h) Opportunity of hearing shall be afforded to the parties to place on record all essential documents and materials, if so required and desired;

(i) During pendency of the case, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

(j) The Assessing Authority shall pass a fresh order only after affording adequate opportunity to all concerned, including the writ petitioner;

(k) Petitioner through learned counsel undertakes to fully cooperate in such proceedings and not take unnecessary adjournment;

(l) The Assessing Authority shall decide the case on merits expeditiously, preferably within a period of two

months from the date of appearance of the petitioner;

(m) The Assessing Authority shall pass a speaking order assigning reasons, copy whereof shall be supplied to the parties;

(n) Liberty reserved to the petitioner to challenge the order, if required and desired;

(o) Equally, liberty reserved to the parties to take recourse to such other remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law;

(p) We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law, with a reasonable dispatch;

(q) We have not expressed any opinion on merits and all issues are left open;

(r) If possible, proceedings during the time of current Pandemic [Covid-19] be conducted through digital mode;

The instant petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Interlocutory Application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the respondents undertakes to communicate the order to the appropriate authority through electronic mode.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( S. Kumar, J)**

veena/rajiv-

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| AFR/NAFR          |  |
| CAV DATE          |  |
| Uploading Date    |  |
| Transmission Date |  |