

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42516 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2005 Thana- NAUTAN District- West Champaran

=====

BASUDEO RAM Son of Ramnath Ram Resident of Village - Bhana Chak,
P.s.- Majhauria, Distt.- West Champaran, at Present Posted on the Post of
Panchayat Secretary (Chanpatiya Block), P.s.- Chanpatiya, Distt.-
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajendra Narayan, Sr. Adv
		Mr. Akhileshwar Kumar Shrivastva, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 29-11-2022 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove
the defects within four weeks.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered in
connection with Nautan (Jagdish Purr), Case No.86 of 2005, for
the offence punishable under sections 420, 465, 468, 406 and
509 of IPC.

Allegedly, the petitioner misappropriated government
funds.

It is submitted by learned senior counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and have committed no offence.



He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. He further submits that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner was earlier rejected in Cr. Misc. No.39700 of 2007 dated 28.08.2007 by a co-ordinate bench of this Court, thereafter the petitioner has moved for anticipatory bail before this Court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail by submitting that earlier the anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rejected by a co-ordinate bench of this Court with a liberty to surrender and pray for regular bail in the learned court below. He further submits that the petitioner has not surrendered till date and again pray for anticipatory bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner named above on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on behalf of the petitioner is rejected.

This instant application is dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

