

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52367 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2021 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Aurangabad

=====

DHARAMRAJ SINGH @ JUNNA Son of Haradev Yadav @ Hardev Sadhu
Resident of Village- Nathpur, P.S.- Itahri, District- Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The Union Of India Through Intelligence Officer, Narcotics Control Bureau,
Patna Zonal Unit Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Ms.Kumari Anupam, Advocate
	:	Mr.Madahav Raj, Advocate
	:	Ms.Priyanka Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.A.K. Pandey, SCGC
	:	Mr. R.K. Sharma, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 24-03-2022 Heard Shri Yogesh Chandra Verma, learned senior

counsel for the petitioner, assisted by Ms. Kumari Anupan,

learned counsel and learned Central Government counsel for the

Union of India.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with N.C.B. Case
No. 06 of 2021 registered for the offences under Sections 8(c),
20(b)(ii)(c), 25 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic
Substances Act, 1985.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in custody since 07.04.2021, charge-sheet has
been submitted and has antecedent of one case under the



N.D.P.S. Act.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant alleges that a secret information was received that a truck is carrying huge quantity of *ganja*, accordingly a team was constituted and N.C.B. Officials saw the truck coming and stopped the truck and the driver Girwardhari Pandey disclosed that the truck was loaded with coal and 10 quintals of *ganja*. It is further alleged that the driver and the co-accused Rajendra Ram further stated that *ganja* was to be received by Sadhu Yadav and Dharamraj Singh @ Junna (petitioner) who will come to Aurangabad tomorrow.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and at best from the allegation it would manifest that the name of this petitioner transpired based on the confessional statement of the driver of the truck and co-accused who were found sitting in the truck. Learned senior counsel submits that confessional statement as such does not have any evidentiary value.

Learned Central Government counsel opposes the bail application of the petitioner and submits that though the name of this petitioner came in the confessional statement of the truck driver but then he is also an accused from before in a case under



the N.D.P.S. Act.

Considering the submissions made by the learned Central Government counsel and in the nature of allegations and that petitioner is having an antecedent under the N.D.P.S. Act, the Court, for the present, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. His prayer for bail is thus rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

