

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41887 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2022 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

=====

GOLU KUMAR @ GOLU YADAV Son of Kaleshwar Yadav Resident of
village- Sarebad Belatanr, P.S- Khaira, Dist- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307,
504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The petitioner is alleged to have gave a sword blow
over the head of the informant on the order of co-accused
Raj Kumar Yadav and Asho Yadav, resultantly, the informant
sustained injuries.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is
innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He
further submits that though there is allegation of assault by



means of deadly weapon like sword against the petitioner, but the injuries sustained by the informant is simple in nature and not caused by a sharp cutting substance. He further submits that the injury report does not corroborate with the allegation as levelled in the F.I.R. Hence, the petitioner may be granted the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the, above named, petitioner in the event of arrest of surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of order be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Sono (Charkapathar) P.S. Case No. 52 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates



without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be canceled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

