

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50888 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2019 Thana- KARPI District- Jehanabad

RAJENDRA JHA S/o Late Narayan Jha R/o village and Post- Mohan Pali,
P.S.- Khajauli, District- Madhubani, Asstt. Manager, (Contract- basis) T D P S
Godawn Karpi. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Srivastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta, APP
For the BSFC : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 21-06-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the BSFC and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defects, as pointed out by the office, within four
weeks. In the eventuality of non-removal of defects within
undertaken period, the office will place the matter before the
Bench.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Karpi P.S.
Case No. 259/2019 registered for the offences punishable under
Section 409/420 of the Indian Penal Code pending in the Court
of learned A.C.J.M., Arwal.

The allegation against the petitioner is for
defalcating huge amount of Rs. 1 Crore and 42 lacs and odd.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that no such occurrence as alleged ever took place. He has been



falsely implicated in this case due to hierarchy in system. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that from perusal of the case diary it is evident that there is no material against the petitioner. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned counsel for the BSFC and learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is of defalcating huge government money, hence the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected. However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned Court below within six weeks from today and seek regular bail and the learned Court below would pass order on the same day in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order considering the fact that in the case diary no material has been found against the petitioner.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

