

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.51316 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-22 Year-2021 Thana- JADIA District- Supaul

RAJESH KUMAR @ RAJESH KUMAR MANDAL Son of Bhalgi Mandal
Resident of Village- Parsagarhi Uttar Ward No. 10, P.S.- Jadia, District-
Supaul.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Durga Nand Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-01-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in virtual court
proceeding.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be
removed within four weeks of start of normal functioning of the
physical court.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jadia P.S. Case
No. 22 of 2021 registered for the offence punishable under
Section 392 of the IPC.

The FIR of the occurrence of loot is against unknown.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that
nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the



petitioner. Till today no TIP has been done by the police. Petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2021 in the present case.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer of bail submitting that petitioner carries three criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Supaul in connection with Jadia P.S. Case No. 22 of 2021, subject to the following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.



3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

shahzad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

