

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50894 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2021 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

=====

Anuj Tati @ Anuj Tanti, Son of Chhotelal Tati @ Chhote Tanti, Resident of  
Village - Singarhat, Devi Sthan, P.S. - Soh sarai, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      27-04-2022                      Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to  
  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within  
  
a period of four weeks from today.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
  
APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks regular bail, who is in custody in  
  
connection with Soh sarai P.S. Case No. 92 of 2021 for the  
  
offence punishable under Section 304B of the Indian Penal  
  
Code.

As per prosecution case, it is alleged that the marriage  
  
of the daughter of the informant was solemnized with Anuj  
  
Tanti (petitioner) in the year 2020 and he used to torture and  
  
assault his daughter for dowry. It is further alleged that on  
  
20.05.2021, he received information that the petitioner (husband



of the deceased) killed his daughter by setting her on fire.

It is submitted on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner that there is general and omnibus allegation against this petitioner and during the course of investigation there is no eye witness to the alleged occurrence and the petitioner is in jail custody since 22.05.2021. It is next submitted that the petitioner is unfortunate husband.

On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that admittedly the marriage of the victim was solemnized in the year 2020 and the death was caused by burn otherwise than the normal circumstance within a year of her marriage and during course of investigation it has come that soon before her death she was subjected to torture and harassment by the husband in connection with demand of dowry. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation it has come that the deceased was set on fire in a room constructed over the first floor, which was without any roof and the petitioner being husband has failed to give any plausible reason for her death.

Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is the husband of the deceased and this occurrence has taken



place just within a year of the marriage and the deceased was allegedly set on fire in a constructed room over the first floor and moreover there is specific allegation against this petitioner that the deceased was subjected to torture and harassment on account of dowry by the hands of this petitioner, this Court is not persuaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

It is expected that the learned trial court will take all necessary steps to ensure early disposal of the case.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

uday/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

