

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2515 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-261 Year-2020 Thana- KHAJANCHI HAT District- Purnia

MD. RAJU, Son of Late Nizam, Resident of New Sipahi Tola, Maranga Road,
Mata Chauk, P.S. - K. Hat, District - Purnea.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant	:	Mr.Raj Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Smt Pushpa Sinha, Spl.P.P.
For the informant	:	Mr Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

4 12-10-2022 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and the

learned Special P.P. for the State as well as the learned counsel

for the informant.

The appellant has preferred the present appeal under
Section 14A(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act against the order dated
20.05.2022 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge, SC/ST Act, Purnea in Special (SC/ST) Case
No.72 of 2020/CIS No.72 of 2020 (arising out of K. Hat P.S.
Case No.261 of 2020), whereby the prayer for regular bail on
behalf of the appellant for the offence punishable under Sections
147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 302, 506 of the I.P.C. and Section 3(2)
(V) of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, has been



rejected.

Appellant's prayer for bail was earlier rejected on 20.09.2021 in Cr.Appeal (SJ) No.1703 of 2021. He has renewed his prayer for bail.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the informant that the last prosecution witness, namely, Investigating Officer, is to be examined, for which today is the date fixed in the trial.

In view of the progress in the trial and having regard to the fact that it is on the verge of conclusion, this Court is not inclined to entertain the bail application. The same is dismissed. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

PNM

U		T	
---	--	---	--

