

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41099 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-31 Year-2019 Thana- BARABAR TOURIST District- Jehanabad

Geeta Kumari @ Sushma Kumari @ Sushma Devi, W/O Bhola Kumar R/O
Village- Rohilapar, P.S.- Bhagwanganj, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Mishra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-12-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, the age of the petitioner could not be recorded in the cause title of the anticipatory bail application and the same will be done within a week from today by filing a supplementary affidavit.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of her arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a woman and the informant alleges that petitioner secure appointment as Panchayat Teacher based on forged mark-sheet of BETET.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is



next submitted that based on an ex parte inquiry conducted behind her back, the present F.I.R. came to be instituted. It is next submitted that had an opportunity been given to the petitioner to explain her side of the case perhaps the present F.I.R. would not have been instituted.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on her furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending in connection with Barabar Prayatak (Vishunganj O.P.) P. S. Case No.31 of 2019, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

