

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50540 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-314 Year-2020 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

RAVI KUMAR Son of Sri Hari Manjhi @ Hari Paswan Resident of Village -
Saidpur Dighwara, P.S. - Dighwara, District - Saran (Chapra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anand Mohan Prasad Mehta, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 01-02-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P.
for the State through virtual court proceedings.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period of
four weeks after complete start of the physical Court.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Dighwara P.S. Case No. 314 of 2020 instituted for the offences under
Sections 363 and 366(A)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2021, is a person with clean
antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted.

The informant alleges that his minor daughter was
kidnapped by some criminal on 17.12.2020 and on basis of suspicion
alleges that petitioner and his friends might have kidnapped her as
the petitioner prior to the occurrence had teased her when she was
going for tuition and when informant went to the house of the
petitioner for making complain, the petitioner threatened that he will



kidnap the victim.

Learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case by alleging that she was a minor when in reality the victim is a major as in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. she has disclosed her age as 18-½ years and further the Doctors have also assessed her age in between 17 to 19 years. Learned counsel further submits that the victim in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has not even remotely supported the prosecution case rather has stated that she on her own volition fled away to Mumbai with the petitioner and they got married there.

Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody, is a person with clean antecedent, charge-sheet has been submitted and the victim has not supported the prosecution case, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IX, Chapra, Saran in connection with Dighwara P.S. Case No. 314 of 2020.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

