

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40808 of 2022

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2022 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

=====

DHANANJAY KUMAR Son of Ramashish Singh R/o-Vill. and P.O- Dulapur,
ward no. 3, PS- Teghra Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Prince Kumar Son of Suresh Singh R/O- Village- Naula, ward no. 21 P.S-
Bhgawanpur Dist- Begusarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shubhesh Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 24-11-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to
remove the defects within four weeks.

Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for offence punishable u/s 420 IPC.

Allegedly, the complainant purchased a truck from
the informant for a consideration amount of Rs. 17,00,000/-.
The meantime the petitioner got information of an accidental
case caused by his truck and thereafter he started pressurizing
the complainant to complaint for recovery of due amount in
order to save his skin from the accidental case without the



knowledge of complainant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that the complainant had purchased a truck on the consideration amount Rs. 17,00,000/- from the petitioner. The complainant have paid Rs.10,00,000/- to the petitioner. But he did not paid Rs. 7,00,000/-.He has been falsely implicated in this case to digest Rs. 7,00,000/- from him. It is submitted that at the time of sale of truck, the petitioner has neither suppressed any material facts nor misrepresented any facts to the complainant and he knew about the case of Kunda PS Case No. 79 of 2017. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The amount Rs. 7,00,000/- is being duped by the complainant by taking the advantage of the present criminal case against him. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since there is a business transaction dispute between the parties., let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court



below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Begusarai Complaint Case No.80 C of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

