

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.50014 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-51 Year-2021 Thana- PRANPUR District- Katihar

=====

AJMER S/o SRI MISTER MIYAN R/o VILLAGE-LABHA, P.S-PRANPUR,
DISTRICT-KATIHAR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan- Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Shantanu Kumar- A.P.P.
	Mr. Ratnakar Ambastha- Informant
	Mr. Rajendra Prasad Sah- Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 29-01-2022 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State through
video conferencing.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pranpur
(Rosna) P. S. Case No.51 of 2021, instituted for the offences
under Sections 302, 201, 120B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is in custody since 25.03.2021, he is a person with
clean antecedent and charge-sheet has been submitted in the
case.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
though on the face of it, the allegation seems to be heinous that



the sister of the informant along with her two minor daughters are alleged to have been locked in a room and burnt to death by the accused persons in which the petitioner is also named, but this petitioner is neither the relative of the informant nor of the deceased and as such, would not have participated in such a heinous offence.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with a liberty to the petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

