

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1787 of 2021

-
1. Om Shanti, Daughter of Shri Ram Uday Singh Resident of Saguna More, Police Station-Danapur, District-Patna, Bihar-801503.
 2. Rupa rani Daughter of Shri Ram Uday Singh Resident of Saguna More, Police Station-Danapur, District-Patna, Bihar-801503.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Art, Culture and Youth Department, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar-800001
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar Government, Patna, Bihar-800001
3. The Deputy Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar Government, Patna, Bihar-800001
4. The Director General, Bihar State Sports Authority, Moin-ul-haq Atadium, Rajendra Nagar, Patna, Bihar-800016
5. Judo Federation of India through its General Secretary, 264, 1st Floor, Hari Nagar, Ashram, New Delhi-110014
6. Bihar State Judo Association through its Secretary, Judo Vihar, New Saguna, Danapur, Patna, Bihar-801503

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, GP-4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-02-2022

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

In the instant petition, petitioners have prayed for
following relief(s):-

1. That by way of this writ application petitioner seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for issuance of an appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions for the following



reliefs:-

I. For issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the letter dated 27.10.2020 (Annexure 7) issued by respondent no. 3, whereby direction has been issued for lodging of F.I.R. against the petitioners for alleged mistake in issuance of certificate to them by the respondent no. 5.

II. For issuance of writ in nature of mandamus for upholding the certificate of participation issued by the Respondents concerned is valid.

III. For issuance of writ in nature of mandamus to command the respondents for considering the certificate of participation of Petitioner as valid certificate and appoint them on the post for which advertisement has been published.

IV. For issuance of writ for consequential direction/benefit.

V. For any other relief/reliefs to petitioner is entitled for.”

In so far first prayer is concerned, it is stated on behalf of petitioners that it is not available to the petitioners since F.I.R. has already been lodged against them. Therefore, prayer no. II to V do not survive for consideration. Petitioners are at liberty to file fresh petition as and when investigation done in their favour so as to claim prayer No. II to V.



Accordingly, the instant petition stands disposed
of.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

rakhi/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	

