

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40500 of 2022**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2018 Thana- AMNAUR District- Saran

=====

SUBODH SINGH @ SUBODH KUMAR SINGH @ SUBODH KUMAR
SON OF BHARAT DEO SINGH R/O VILLAGE- AMNOUR HAR
NARAYAN, P.S.- AMNOUR, DISTRICT- SARAN AT CHAPRA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Dewendra Narayan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 30-11-2022 Heard the learned Senior counsel for the petitioner
and the learned APP for the State.

The present petition is by way of third attempt at the behest of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with S.T. no. 343 of 2019, arising out of Amnour PS case no. 193 of 2018 for the offence punishable under Sections 302/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code, inasmuch as the earlier petitions filed by the petitioner for grant of bail have all been rejected either by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court or by this Court.

The prosecution case as per the written report of the informant Sambhu Sah, submitted before the S.H.O., Amnour is to the effect that on 26.09.2018 at 6 am, the son of the informant namely Pappu Kumar went to Amnour market to take tea from



the tea stall. In the meantime, co-accused person namely Bhim Singh and the petitioner Subodh Singh came on a motorcycle and the petitioner resorted to fire on the chest of the son of the informant and escaped from the scene, as a result the son of the informant died on the spot. The motive of the occurrence is alleged to be old land dispute. The petitioner is stated to be the main assailant who had fired on the chest of the son of the informant, resulting in his death on the spot.

The learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 13.12.2018 and the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

Per contra, the learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner for grant of regular bail and has submitted that the petitioner is alleged to have murdered the son of the informant, hence, has committed a heinous crime, as such, does not deserve any sympathy.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties and taking into account the materials available on record, this Court finds that there is no change in circumstance so as to warrant re-consideration of the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail and moreover, the petitioner is



alleged to have committed a gruesome murder, hence, I do not find any merit in the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail, thus the present petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

