

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49136 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2020 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

1. SUMAN KUMAR @ PAPPU YADAV Son of Arjun Yadav @ Arjun Prasad Yadav Resident of Village- Mahkar, P.S.- Roh, District- Nawada.
2. Arjun Yadav @ Arjun Prasad Yadav Son of Late Uma Yadav Resident of Village- Mahkar, P.S.- Roh, District- Nawada.
3. Pitambar Yadav Son of Late Uma Yadav Resident of Village- Mahkar, P.S.- Roh, District- Nawada.
4. Sanjay Yadav Son of Jadish Yadav Resident of Village- Mahkar, P.S.- Roh, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arjun Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Narayan Singh, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

ORAL ORDER

2 05-01-2022

Heard the parties through video conferencing.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Roh P.S. Case No. 124 of 2020 registered for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 447, 448, 504, 506, 341, 323, 307, 269, 354, 380 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 8 of the POCSO Act and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all family member of the petitioners have been implicated in the case and similarly situated other six co-accused persons have already been granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that there are allegation of offence committed under POCSO Act also. Therefore, this Court finds that case of the petitioners could not be

distinguish in that of those who have been granted bail.

Taking into consideration, I am inclined to grant benefit of anticipatory bail to the above named petitioners and in the event of arrest they shall be released on bail, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

pravinkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--