

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8938 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2017 Thana- C.B.I CASE District- Patna

BIJAY KUMAR SINGH @ VIJAY KUMAR SINGH Son of Late Jageshwar Singh Resident of New Area, Behind Mahesh Academy School, Near Bypass Chowk, P.O., P.S. and District- Aurangabad and Permanent resident of Village- Khaira, P.O.- Jaihind Tendua, P.S.- Mali, District- Aurangabad, Partner of M/s . Hariom Construction, Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State through C.B.I. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Amarendra Nath Verma
		Mr. Kirti Ranjan
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.K.N.Singh (ADSG)
For the Canara Bank		Mr. Onkar Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

10 30-08-2022 The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to remove all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within one month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks adjournment of the matter which is not possible as number of adjournments have already been given.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the Canara Bank.

Learned counsel for the Canara Bank has submitted that in order dated 19.07.2022, the Central Bank of India has wrongly been mentioned in place of Canara Bank.

In view of aforesaid, in order dated 19.07.2022 the Central Bank of India shall be read as 'Canara Bank'.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 420 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code



and Sections 13(2) & 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered in connection with R.C.Case No. 4(A) of 2017 (Special P.C.Act Case No. 11 of 2017).

The petitioner is the proprietor of M/s. Hariom Construction, Aurangabad. As per allegation, in collusion with Keshav Kumar, the then Branch Manager of Canara Bank, Aurangabad Branch, the petitioner without any security and in deep conspiracy, became successful in opening O.D. Account and his account was declared N.P.A.

It appears that due to the act of the petitioner, Canara Bank has sustained a loss of Rs. 6,90,23,542/-.

In view of aforesaid, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail, which is hereby rejected.

Office shall ensure that all defects are removed by the petitioner within the stipulated time as provided hereinabove, failing which the matter shall be brought to the notice of this Court.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

