

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.939 of 2021**

- 
1. Vijay Kumar Sinha Son of Late Sukhdeo Prasad Sinha, resident of Mohalla - Nalband Tola, PS - Alamganj, District - Patna.
  2. Prem Nath Prasad, Son of Raghubansh Prasad, resident of Mohalla - Behwerganj, PS - Alamganj, District Patna.
  3. Som Nath, Son of Bulkan Ram Rajak, resident of mohalla - Sindhu tola, PS - Alamganj, District - Patna.
  4. Manoranjan Kumar Singh, Son of Dinesh Singh, resident of Village- Chakdaria (Navaliha), PS - Sonepur, District - Saran (Chhapra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Finance Department, Bihar Patna.
2. The Joint Secretary, Department of Finance, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Superintendent, Secretariat Printing Press, Gulzarbagh, Patna.
4. The In Charge Superintendent, Secretariat Printing Press, Gulzarbagh, Patna.
5. The Treasury Officer, Patna City, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, AAG 10

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 13-05-2022**

The matter is taken up through virtual court proceedings.

2. No one appears on behalf of the petitioners.

3. In the instant petition, petitioners have prayed for the

following relief/reliefs:

“That this is an application for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/writs, direction/directions commanding the respondents particularly the respondent No. 4 (In charge Superintendent, Secretariat Printing Press,



Gulzarbagh, Patna) to fix pay scale of the petitioners after adding yearly 3% increments which has been arbitrarily stopped from 2015 by respondent no. 4 and also obey the letter vide no. 4353 dated 20.05.2019 issued by the respondent no. 2 direct the respondent no. 4 to take Action benefit of Pay Fixation Fundamental Rule 22(1)A (1) upon option application within one month.”

4. The respondents have rejected petitioners’ claim by passing order on 22.01.2019. Petitioners have not questioned the validity of aforesaid order which is part and parcel of the counter statement/affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Therefore, the present petition stands disposed off. Reserving liberty to the petitioners to question the validity of the order dated 22.01.2019 and consequently if any order passed by the concerned authority.

**(P. B. Bajanthri, J)**

GAURAV S./-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          |            |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 16.05.2022 |
| Transmission Date |            |

