

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21095 of 2018

=====

Dr. Smita Tiwary @ Dr. Smita Tiwary Ojha D/o Shri S.N. Tiwary R/o Flat
No. 102, Sushila Regency, Raja Bazar, P.S. Shastri Nagar, P.O. B.V. College,
District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Honble Chancellor, Universities Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna
3. Dr. Ras Bihari Prasad Singh, the Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna.
4. Patna University through its Registrar, Patna University, Ashok Raj Path,
Patna-5
5. The Dean of faculty of Social Sciences, Patna University, Patna.
6. The Head of Department of Psychology, Patna University, Patna
7. Dr. Poonam Kumari, the Head of Department of Psychology, Patna
University, Patna.
8. University Grants Commission UGC, through its Secretary, Bahadur Shah
Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
9. Dr. Prabha Shukla, then the Supervisor and the then Head of Department,
Psychology, Presently Head of Department of Psychology, Nalanda Olpen
University, Biscomaun Bhawan, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi , Adv.
For the University : Mr. Manish Dhari Singh, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

8 25-07-2022

1. Heard the parties.

2. This case comes up for the purpose of noticing
whether the University has formulated any scheme containing
instructions and guidelines to facilitate issuance of certificates
based on cogent evidence.

3. A counter affidavit on behalf of the University has

been filed by the Deputy Registrar, Patna University and stated that in pursuance of the decision taken by the Academy Counsel and Syndicate at its meeting held on 8th of January, 2018 and on 27th January, 2018, a letter has been issued on 15th of February, 2018 to all the Deans to the Faculties and the Head of the Department of the University for issuance of a supporting certificate. Formats of three different types were sent for the purpose.

4. Considering the said affidavit, this Court is satisfied that the University shall henceforth be vigilant in releasing the certificates.

5. Taking into considering that the supporting certificate has already been issued to the Ph.D degree holders.

6. The writ petition is found to have become infructuous and accordingly, the same is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Sanjeev Prakash Sharma, J)

Pravinkumar/-

Item No. 377

U			
---	--	--	--